

**JEFF CHURCH, FORMER TRUSTEE, SCHOOL BOARD
TERM 2021 TO DEC 2024
VIEWS ARE HIS AND DO NOT REPRESENT WCSD
WWW.WATCHDOGJEFF.COM
WATCHDOGJEFF ON X (TWITTER**



WELCOME TO WATCHDOGJEFF.COM

THIS SITE IS DEDICATED TO ADDRESSING
NEVADA EDUCATIONAL ISSUES AND SOLUTIONS

OTHER TAX AND GOVERNMENTAL ISSUES MAY
BE REVIEWED AT RENOTAXREVOLT.COM

JEFF MAY BE CONTACTED AT
RENOTAXREVOLT@SBCGLOBAL.NET

WHO IS WATCHDOGJEFF

JEFF CHURCH IS A FORMER ELECTED TRUSTEE OF THE WASHOE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT SERVING 2020-2024 . JEFF IS A RETIRED RENO POLICE SERGEANT AND A RETIRED US AIR FORCE LIEUTENANT COLONEL (LTCOL)- RESERVE. JEFF IS A VFW LIFE MEMBER AND HAD MULTIPLE DEPLOYMENTS IN HIS CAREER SERVING MOSTLY IN INTELLIGENCE.

AS A POLICE SERGEANT, HE FOUNDED THE RPD "HELP" HOMELESS PROGRAM. HE THEN FOUNDED AND WAS BOARD PRESIDENT OF RENO'S FIRST 24-7-365 HOMELESS CENTER AT 220 BELL ST. IT PROVED A HUGE SUCCESS WITH REDUCTIONS IN CRIME AND FREEING UP POLICE FOR OTHER DUTIES.

THE AIR FORCE CORE VALUES ARE INTEGRITY FIRST, SERVICE BEFORE SELF, AND EXCELLENCE IN ALL WE DO.

JEFF BELIEVES IN THOSE VALUES AND SEEKS TO EDUCATE THE PUBLIC ON ISSUES AND IS NOT SEEKING THE SCHOOL BOARD AS A SPRING BOARD FOR FUTURE POSITIONS- UNLIKE OTHERS. JEFF HOLDS TWO COLLEGE DEGREES FROM SAN DIEGO STATE AND SOUTHWESTERN COLLEGE AND HE HOLDS CERTIFICATES OF TRAINING FROM LAW ENFORCEMENT AND THE MILITARY TOO NUMEROUS TO MENTION.



THE WEAPONIZATION OF GOVERNMENT VS POLITICAL OPPONENTS

[WWW.WHITEHOUSE.GOV/PRESIDENTIAL-ACTIONS/2025/01/ENDING-THE-WEAPONIZATION-OF-THE-FEDERAL-GOVERNMENT/](https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-the-weaponization-of-the-federal-government/)

Note: In my career and travels I served in Latin America and have been to Venezuela and have seen first hand how rogue governments weaponize the police and courts against political opponents. Then I saw it happen here! Then it happened to me and no one spoke up. (Jeff Church)

DONALD TRUMP, President of the United States of America: “The American people have witnessed the previous administration engage in a systematic campaign against its perceived political opponents, weaponizing the legal force of numerous Federal law enforcement agencies ... against those perceived political opponents in the form of investigations, prosecutions, civil enforcement actions, and other related actions. ...Many of these activities appear to be inconsistent with the Constitution and/or the laws of the United States, including those activities directed at parents protesting at school board meetings, Americans who spoke out against the previous administration’s actions, and other Americans who were simply exercising constitutionally protected rights. The prior administration and allies throughout the country engaged in an unprecedented, third-world weaponization of prosecutorial power to upend the democratic process.”

WATCHDOGJEFF SUPPORTING OPEN GOVERNMENT AND TRANSPARENCY

This presentation addresses ongoing efforts by Jeff, fought and opposed tooth and nail by WCSD, for transparency and the public's right to know.



JEFF RUNS WATCHDOGJEFF.COM AND RENOTAXREVOLT.COM WHY?


It's in his DNA, blessed, not wealthy but secure, he believes in giving back. In his case he opted to be a watchdog. USAF CORE value: service beyond self. Jeff founded Reno's first 24 /7/ 365 homeless center @ 1990.

Comment: Is the ACLU bad for their multiple legal actions? Then why would Jeff be criticized especially when he prevails so often?

Yes, Jeff has proudly used his funds to address issues of community concern. When two parties disagree Jeff has promoted mediation (just ask NMC). The elected legislature has provided us with mechanisms for residents to seek redress including outside of court, so why criticize those that use it? The answer may be found in Beaumont, California (more later)

While SLAPP refers to actual court filings, the (WCSD) Washoe County School District has sought to curtail transparency and Jeff's free speech and opinions using your tax dollars.

NMC: Mark Baril: contact@mediatenmc.org (775) 788-2127



FOR IF MEN ARE TO BE PRECLUDED FROM OFFERING THEIR SENTIMENTS ON A MATTER, WHICH MAY INVOLVE THE MOST SERIOUS AND ALARMING CONSEQUENCES, THAT CAN INVITE THE CONSIDERATION OF MANKIND, REASON IS OF NO USE TO US; THE FREEDOM OF SPEECH MAY BE TAKEN AWAY, AND, DUMB AND SILENT WE MAY BE LED, LIKE SHEEP, TO THE SLAUGHTER. GEORGE WASHINGTON

FREEDOM OF SPEECH IS A PRINCIPAL PILLAR OF A FREE GOVERNMENT; WHEN THIS SUPPORT IS TAKEN AWAY, THE CONSTITUTION OF A FREE SOCIETY IS DISSOLVED, AND TYRANNY IS ERECTED ON ITS RUINS. BENJAMIN FRANKLIN

IF LIBERTY MEANS ANYTHING AT ALL, IT MEANS THE RIGHT TO TELL PEOPLE WHAT THEY DO NOT WANT TO HEAR. GEORGE ORWELL

THERE'S NO FINE LINE BETWEEN "FREE SPEECH" AND "HATE SPEECH": FREE SPEECH IS HATE SPEECH; IT'S FOR THE SPEECH YOU HATE -AND FOR ALL YOUR SPEECH THAT THE OTHER GUY HATES. IF YOU DON'T HAVE FREE SPEECH, THEN YOU CAN'T HAVE AN HONEST DISCUSSION. MARK STEYN

"IF THERE IS A BEDROCK PRINCIPLE UNDERLYING THE FIRST AMENDMENT, IT IS THAT THE GOVERNMENT MAY NOT PROHIBIT THE EXPRESSION OF AN IDEA SIMPLY BECAUSE SOCIETY FINDS THE IDEA ITSELF OFFENSIVE OR DISAGREEABLE." TEXAS V JOHNSON, US SUPREME COURT

EVERYONE IS IN FAVOUR OF FREE SPEECH. HARDLY A DAY PASSES WITHOUT ITS BEING EXTOLLED, BUT SOME PEOPLE'S IDEA OF IT IS THAT THEY ARE FREE TO SAY WHAT THEY LIKE, BUT IF ANYONE SAYS ANYTHING BACK, THAT IS AN OUTRAGE. CHURCHILL

FROM AARON FORD'S A.G. OML MANUAL:

The people of this state do not yield their sovereignty to the agencies which serve them. The people, in delegating authority, do not give their public servants the right to decide what is good for the people to know and what is not good for them to know. The people insist on remaining informed so that they may retain control over instruments they have created.

Stockton newspapers, inc. V. Redevelopment agency, 214 cal. Rptr. 561, 1985

From: ThisIsReno (Edited- pre-election 2020)

1. Veiled legal threats

Criticize the Washoe County School District, and you may get hit back by the school district's attorneys with a veiled accusation of defamation. Just ask Jeff Church, the retired Reno cop who rails against local governments and often speaks during public comment at local government meetings. The school district has been a regular object of his criticism.

During the 2016 campaign for the WC1 ballot question, and after its passage, Church was, from the perspective of the school district, off-base in how he characterized the voter-approved tax increase to fund new schools.

“[Chief Operating Officer Pete] Etchart and the District are placing Mr. Church on notice that if he continues to perpetuate this misrepresentation, he will be acting intentionally and in disregard to the truth, and thus he will be committing defamation, which may be pursued,” .


Defamation, it turns out, is a repeated school district refrain.

Jeff Church subject to fabricated harassment charges regarding two female employees likely in conspiracy with higher level persons.

Does that matter to anyone? Is it wrong to seek to protect one's reputation against politically motivated false accusations? As seen here, at the time Church was accused on speaking to the two employees in the parking lot, Church is proven innocent and alone inside the building on video- a video he had to file action to obtain.



WCSD FAILED ATTEMPT TO CENSURE HIM STRICTLY OVER FREE SPEECH



REPRESENTING THE PEOPLE, NOT THE GOVERNMENT

YOU'RE OFFENDED? HOW SWEET!



**Political Correctness (PC) Police
are here to help you**



IT'S ALMOST FUNNY

Sorry little girl we gave the sports award to Tommy 'er Tammy



PORN IN THE LIBRARY OK



Trustee Church prevails in public records lawsuit against Washoe County School District

By: Bob Conrad Date: August 16, 2024 (ThisIsReno)

Note: WCSD appealed to another court and again lost, all at taxpayer expense!

WCSD Loses Appeal on Public Transparency Case

By Jeff Church, February 20, 2025 8:00 am (Nevada Globe)

Summary: In mid-2023, WCSD Trustee Jeff Church requested copies of applications for a WCSD Committee that he was reviewing at a public meeting. WCSD had provided only their chosen candidate refusing to release others. That August, he filed a Writ under Nevada's Public Document Law. The District refused, and after a lengthy court hearing and process, Church prevailed on behalf of the public's right to know. The District filed the above-listed appeal, and now a second judge has supported the public's right to know. Church looks forward to the release of related documents as well as attorney fees but is skeptical if they'll comply or waste more tax dollars on this.

"No elected official nor citizen should have to endure a 20-month ordeal at their expense to obtain documents that the public has a right to" (said Church)


“The current Board of Trustees, including my own District D representative, have shown to be unwilling to speak with or hear the concerns of their constituents. I have asked to speak with Board members to discuss issues that I believe to be vital to the employees and students and have been ignored. **Education should be open and transparent. I am seeking this position to advocate for accountability, transparency, open government and protection of our children and employees. The public deserves to know what is going on in the school district. Getting public information from the school district is nearly impossible.**”

Ron Dreher (D), labor representative & candidate for District D vs Beth Smith

JEFF FILED AN INTERNAL COMPLAINT OVER THE USE OF RENO HIGH SCHOOL FOR A POLITICAL RALLY: RESULT:

“Merit” – the complaint was reviewed/investigated by our office, and we found the complaint to be valid

“Based upon the above, this issue is deemed to have merit.”



Nevada Commission on Ethics (NCOE) fined Trustee Rodriguez \$500 and he is represented free by Hosmer of McDonald Carano: no legal defense filing or CE Reporting. Then he sued the State- NCOE (CV23-01954) costing the state taxpayers that he works for thousands of dollars!

All this after they are critical of Jeff Church for his valid complaints- do as I say not as I do. Hypocrisy!



TRUSTEE MAYBERRY: ETHICS (NCOE) ISSUES HIM A LETTER OF CAUTION

Is this OK unredacted with two students, one JROTC?

Ask to see his Letter of Caution



STATE OF NEVADA
BEFORE THE NEVADA COMMISSION ON ETHICS

In re Adam Mayberry, Trustee,
Washoe County School District,
State of Nevada.

Ethics Complaint
Case No. 22-020C
Confidential

Subject: /

ORDER ON JURISDICTION
Pursuant to NRS 281A.715

The Nevada Commission on Ethics ("Commission") received an Ethics Complaint on March 3, 2022 regarding Washoe County School District ("WCSD") Trustee Adam Mayberry ("Subject"). On April 12, 2022, pursuant to the requirements of the Nevada Ethics in Government Law set forth in NRS Chapter 281A ("Ethics Law") and NAC 281A.405, the Commission conducted its jurisdictional and evidentiary review of the record, including the Ethics Complaint, supporting evidence and the recommendation of the Executive Director.¹

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

The Commission declines jurisdiction and dismisses the allegations in the Ethics Complaint regarding NRS 281A.400(5) (acquiring through public duties or relationships information that is not generally available), NRS 281A.400(9) (benefiting a personal or pecuniary interest through the influence of a subordinate), and NRS 281A.520 (causing a governmental entity to incur an expense or make an expenditure to support or oppose a ballot question or a candidate) for lack of sufficient evidence.²

However, the Commission accepts jurisdiction of the Ethics Complaint for allegations related to NRS 281A.400(2) and (7) and determines that good cause exists for the Commission to issue a Confidential Letter of Caution in lieu of an investigation. The letter shall instruct the Subject regarding his duty under the Ethics Law to refrain from using his public position as a WCSD Trustee or public property and resources for private campaign matters. These allegations shall be dismissed without prejudice upon issuance of the Confidential Letter of Caution.³

DATED this 12th day of April 2022.

NEVADA COMMISSION ON ETHICS

by Kim Wallin
Kim Wallin, CPA, CMA, CFM
Commission Chair

¹ The following Commissioners participated in this jurisdictional review: Chair Wallin, Vice-Chair Duffin and Commissioners Guenewald, Lowry, Dacanay, Towler and Yen.
² NRS 281A.750(2) requires an ethics complaint be submitted with sufficient evidence to support the allegations as that term is defined by NAC 281A.101.
³ The Commission is dismissed without prejudice and the Commission reserves its authority to consider future ethics complaints that relate to the same or similar alleged conduct.

Order on Jurisdiction
Page 1 of 2

From the Nevada Commission of Ethics reg: Smith, Mayberry and Rodriguez:

“...the Review Panel takes this opportunity to indicate that the WCSD policy should be clarified to establish boundaries for use of government property to compliment and assure compliance with the Ethics Law.”
and

“...this determination should not be taken to indicate that mixed use accounts with a minimal disclaimer would be allowed by the Commission. Public officers, including Mayberry, would be wise to follow the educational aspects of this Review Panel Determination...”
and

“The best ethical practice for public officers or employees who are also candidates for office is to maintain separate official and campaign social media presences to make it crystal clear that communications from any official account are not related to promotion of a candidacy and those on the campaign account are for private campaign purposes. Moreover, a mixed use social media account creates concern under the Ethics Law and increases the likelihood that ethics complaints will be filed, each of which will be considered based upon the facts presented in the case...”

WCSD Violated Open Meeting Law (Jeff's Complaint)

OML 23897-274 vs WCSD Oversight Panel...

“...the Panel violated the OML...The OAG does caution the Panel not to deliberate or take action outside of its public meetings.”

NCOE COMPLAINT #24-162C ISSUED A LETTER OF INSTRUCTION

**Essentially trustees/ elected officials
must now report taxpayer funded
healthcare that they receive and vote
on.**

***Another victory in transparency
thanks to Watchdog Jeff**

opinion. However, in the interest of the Commission's purpose to help educate public officers, the issuance of a Confidential Letter of Instruction is appropriate to ensure Subject is aware of her ongoing duties under the Ethics Law concerning disclosures when considering matters affecting her pecuniary interests, including but not limited to health care benefits.

¹All materials provided to the Review Panel, except the Ethics Complaints and the Orders on Jurisdiction and Investigation, represent portions of the investigatory file and remain confidential pursuant to NRS 281A.750.

Review Panel Determination
Case No. 24-162C
Page 1 of 2

These Trustees should explain their acceptance of any pro-bono/ “In-Kind” legal work from McDonald Carano and if they reported it in their SoS Disclosures. Further, disclose why they seek to suppress factfinding reports/investigations/ emails!

NRS 281A.400 A code of ethical standards ...to govern the conduct of public officers ...

1. A public officer or employee shall not seek or accept any gift, service, favor, employment, engagement, emolument or economic opportunity, for the public officer or employee or any person to whom the public officer or employee has a commitment in a private capacity, ...

2. A public officer or employee shall not use the public officer’s or employee’s position in government to secure or grant unwarranted privileges, preferences, exemptions or advantages for the public officer or employee, any business entity in which the public officer or employee has a significant pecuniary interest or any person to whom the public officer or employee has a commitment in a private capacity.

6. A public officer or employee shall not suppress any governmental report or other official document because it might tend to affect unfavorably a significant pecuniary interest of the public officer ...

OH YES BEAUMONT

BEAUMONTGATE.ORG/

When a citizen watchdog suspected something was 'fishy' in the City of Beaumont (CA), he was ignored (by the D.A. FBI and others) , threatened, **sued** (he won- called SLAPP), and he finally bugged an FBI agent into looking at it, result? Seven high ranking city "staffers" arrested, convicted and millions of dollars recovered / more losses prevented. This is why local government and Nevada need an inspector general or DOGE! This is why efforts are underway to curtail free speech and public comment here!



Jeff has been treated as the proverbial red headed step child. He wears the pen proudly and pushes onward exposing Waste, Fraud and Abuse

**No disrespect intended to any RHSC
out there**



IF YOU LOVE GOD, LOVE YOUR COUNTRY, STAND FOR THE FLAG,
BELIEVE IN FREE SPEECH, THE 2ND AMENDMENT
AND FAIR ELECTIONS....

You may be a Right- Wing Extremist!

